arXiv:1403.5527v1 [math.SP] 21 Mar 2014

FINITE RANK PERTURBATIONS AND SOLUTIONS TO THE OPERATOR RICCATI EQUATION

JULIAN P. GROSSMANN

ABSTRACT. We consider an off-diagonal self-adjoint finite rank perturbation of a self-adjoint operator in a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1$, where $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is finite dimensional. We describe the singular spectrum of the perturbed operator and establish a connection with solutions to the operator Riccati equation. In particular, we prove existence results for solutions in the case where the whole Hilbert space is finite dimensional.

1. INTRODUCTION

In the present article we analyse a special case of finite rank perturbations of a self-adjoint operator on a complex separable Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and show how this is related to the existence of solutions to the operator Riccati equation.

Let $\mathbf{A}$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator on the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ and $\mathfrak{H}_0 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ be a closed $\mathbf{A}$-invariant subspace. We choose $\mathfrak{H}_1 = \mathfrak{H}_0^\perp$ and define the self-adjoint operators $A_i := \mathbf{A}|_{\mathfrak{H}_i}$ for $i = 0, 1$. Assume that the perturbation $\mathbf{V} : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ is off-diagonal with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1$ and consider the perturbed self-adjoint operator

$$\mathbf{B} := \mathbf{A} + \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & V \\ V^* & A_1 \end{pmatrix} \text{ with } \mathbf{V} = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & V \\ V^* & 0 \end{pmatrix},$$

where $V : \mathfrak{H}_1 \to \mathfrak{H}_0$ is a bounded operator. We will study the so-called *operator Riccati equation*

$$A_1 X - X A_0 - X V X + V^* = 0\,. \tag{1}$$

It is well-known (see, e.g., [5, Theorem 4.4]) that the graph of a densely defined operator $X : \mathfrak{H}_0 \supseteq \mathrm{Dom}(X) \to \mathfrak{H}_1$ is invariant for $\mathbf{B}$ if and only if X is a solution to the Riccati equation (1) in the sense of Definition 3.1 below.

There are sufficient conditions which assure the existence of a solution to the Riccati equation. If the spectra of the operators A_0 and A_1 are separated and the operator norm of the perturbation V is sufficiently small, then there is a bounded solution to (1). For details about the smallness of the perturbation see [7, Theorem 3.3] in combination with [5].

On the other hand, if the norm of V is arbitrarily large, the condition that the spectra of A_0 and A_1 are subordinated, i. e.

$$\sup \mathrm{spec}(A_0) \leq \inf \mathrm{spec}(A_1)\,,$$

guarantees the existence of contractive solutions to the Riccati equation, see [6]. Similar results can be found in [1] and [3].

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 47A62, 47A55; Secondary 47B15.

Key words and phrases. Operator Riccati equation, singular spectrum, Herglotz functions.

Note that in this work it is not assumed that the spectra of the operators A_0 and A_1 are separated. Instead, we require that the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is finite dimensional. Under this assumption, we prove existence results for the Riccati equation. We are mainly interested in bounded solutions, but we also prove some statements about unbounded and therefore non-closable solutions. In particular, these results hold under the assumption that the whole Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$ is finite dimensional.

Our main results are the following theorem and the deduced corollary for a finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}$.

Theorem 1.1. *Assume that $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is finite dimensional with $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$, and suppose that $\operatorname{Ran} V$ is a cyclic generating subspace for the operator A_0, i. e.*

$$\overline{\operatorname{lin\,span}\Big\{ A_0^k v \;\Big|\; k \in \mathbb{N}_0, v \in \operatorname{Ran} V \Big\}} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \,.$$

Then one has:

(i) The multiplicity of the spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$ is at most n. If there is an eigenvalue of multiplicity n, then there is a bounded solution to the Riccati equation (1)*.*

(ii) Assume that the point spectrum $\operatorname{spec}_p(\mathbf{B}) \setminus \operatorname{spec}_p(A_0)$ has at least n eigenvalues (counting multiplicities), and let U be the space spanned by the associated eigenvectors. Furthermore, suppose that

$$P_{\mathfrak{H}_1} U = \mathfrak{H}_1 \,,$$

where $P_{\mathfrak{H}_1} : \mathfrak{H} \to \mathfrak{H}$ is the orthogonal projection onto $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Then the Riccati equation (1) *has a bounded solution.*

Corollary 1.2. *Let $\mathfrak{H}$ be finite dimensional and assume that the spectra of $\mathbf{B}$ and A_0 are disjoint. Then there exists at least one bounded solution to the Riccati equation* (1)*.*

2. Eigenvalues and singular continuous spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$

Throughout this work we always assume the hypothesis below and use the notation $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{M})$ for the set of bounded linear operators from a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{K}$ to a Hilbert space $\mathfrak{M}$. Moreover, we will write $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K})$ instead of $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{K}, \mathfrak{K})$. All considered Hilbert spaces are complex and separable. The point spectrum of a bounded operator $T : \mathfrak{K} \to \mathfrak{K}$, i. e. the set of all eigenvalues, is denoted by $\operatorname{spec}_p(T)$.

Hypothesis 2.1. *Let $\mathbf{B}$ be a bounded self-adjoint operator which is represented with respect to the orthogonal decomposition $\mathfrak{H} = \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1$ as an operator block matrix*

$$\mathbf{B} := \begin{pmatrix} A_0 & V \\ V^* & A_1 \end{pmatrix} ,$$

where $A_j \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_j, \mathfrak{H}_j)$ is self-adjoint for $j = 0, 1$ and $V \in \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_1, \mathfrak{H}_0)$.

Assume in addition that the Hilbert-space $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is finite dimensional and that $\operatorname{Ran} V$ is a cyclic generating subspace for the operator A_0, i. e.

$$\operatorname{lin\,span}\Big\{ A_0^k v \;\Big|\; k \in \mathbb{N}_0, v \in \operatorname{Ran} V \Big\}$$

is dense in $\mathfrak{H}_0$.

Since the multiplicity of the spectra A_0 and A_1 are not greater than $\dim \mathfrak{H}_1$, respectively, the multiplicity of the spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$ is also restrained.

Lemma 2.2. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Then $\mathfrak{H}_1 \subseteq \mathfrak{H}$ is a cyclic generating subspace for $\mathbf{B}$. In particular, the multiplicity of the spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$ cannot exceed $\dim \mathfrak{H}_1$.*

Proof. Put $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$ and choose a basis $(e_i)_{i=1,\dots,n}$ of $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Since $\operatorname{Ran} V$ is a cyclic generating subspace for A_0 by Hypothesis 2.1, one concludes that

$$\operatorname{lin\,span}\{Ve_i \oplus 0\,,\ 0 \oplus e_i \in \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\}$$

is a cyclic generating subspace for $\mathbf{B}$. Obviously, if we substitute $Ve_i \oplus 0$ with $Ve_i \oplus A_1 e_i$, the statement above will remain true. Since $\mathbf{B}(0 \oplus e_i) = Ve_i \oplus A_1 e_i$ holds, the space

$$\operatorname{lin\,span}\{0 \oplus e_i \in \mathfrak{H}_0 \oplus \mathfrak{H}_1 \mid 1 \leq i \leq n\} = \mathfrak{H}_1$$

is already a cyclic subspace for the operator $\mathbf{B}$. □

By a straightforward calculation, we can classify the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}$ into three distinct cases:

Lemma 2.3. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. A real number $\lambda \in \mathbb{R}$ is an eigenvalue of the operator $\mathbf{B}$ with multiplicity k, if and only if there is a set of k linear independent vectors $\{y_j\}_{j=1,\dots,k} \subseteq \mathfrak{H}_1$ with*

$$Vy_j \in \operatorname{Ran}(A_0 - \lambda)\,, \quad j = 1, \dots, k\,,$$

and for each j one of the following statements holds:

(i) $\lambda \notin \operatorname{spec}_p(A_0)$ *and*

$$(A_1 - \lambda)y_j = V^*(A_0 - \lambda)^{-1}Vy_j\,.$$

(ii) $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}_p(A_0)$ *and*

$$(A_1 - \lambda)y_j = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}Vy_j\,.$$

(iii) $\lambda \in \operatorname{spec}_p(A_0)$ *with an eigenvector $x \in \mathfrak{H}_0$ and*

$$(A_1 - \lambda)y_j = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}Vy_j - V^*x\,.$$

Note that $\operatorname{Ran}(A_0 - \lambda) \subseteq (\operatorname{Ran} \mathsf{E}_{A_0}(\{\lambda\}))^\perp$ always holds and so the limit $\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1}Vy_j$ is well-defined by the spectral theorem. Here, E_{A_0} stands for the spectral measure of the self-adjoint operator A_0.

Remark 2.4. The characterisation of the eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}$ in Lemma 2.3 remains true in the case of infinite dimensional $\mathfrak{H}_1$ if the strong limits are replaced with weak limits.

The singular and singular continuous spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$ can be described by the use of minimal supports of the spectral measure which we do in the following. We write $J_{\mathfrak{H}_1} : \mathfrak{H}_1 \to \mathfrak{H}$ for the inclusion map and in this case the adjoint satisfies $J^*_{\mathfrak{H}_1}(x) = P_{\mathfrak{H}_1}(x)$ for all $x \in \mathfrak{H}$.

We write $\mathbb{C}_+ := \{z \in \mathbb{C} \mid \operatorname{Im} z > 0\}$ for the upper complex half-plane. We also use the following notion of Herglotz functions from [2]: An analytic function $M : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathbb{C}^{m \times m}$ is called a *matrix-valued Herglotz function* or *Herglotz matrix* if $\operatorname{Im} M(z) := \frac{1}{2\mathrm{i}}(M(z) - M(z)^*) \geq 0$ for all $z \in \mathbb{C}_+$.

Proposition 2.5. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. The map $M : \mathbb{C}_+ \to \mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_1)$ defined by*

$$M(z) := J_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^*(\mathbf{B} - z)^{-1} J_{\mathfrak{H}_1}$$

is a matrix-valued Herglotz function with

$$M(z) = \big[(A_1 - z) - V^*(A_0 - z)^{-1}V\big]^{-1}. \tag{2}$$

Proof. Since $z \mapsto (\mathbf{B} - z)^{-1}$ is analytic and because of the first resolvent identity, M is a matrix-valued Herglotz function, cf. [2]. The inverse of the Schur complement of $(\mathbf{B} - z)$ shows equation (2), see [8, Proposition 1.6.2]. □

The two propositions below explain a Borel measure which is equivalent to the spectral measure of $\mathbf{B}$ and describes the singularly continuous spectrum and the pure point spectrum of the perturbed operator $\mathbf{B}$. This extends the results by Kostrykin and Makarov in [4].

Proposition 2.6. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. The Herglotz function*

$$m(z) = \operatorname{tr}\big(M(z)\big)$$

admits the representation

$$m(z) = \int_{\mathbb{R}} \frac{1}{t - z}\, d\omega(t)\,, \tag{3}$$

where ω is a positive Borel measure with compact support. Moreover, the null sets of ω and the null sets of the spectral measure of $\mathbf{B}$ coincide.

Proof. From [2, Theorem 5.4] we know that m is a scalar Herglotz function. We define an operator-valued measure Ω with values in $\mathcal{L}(\mathfrak{H}_1)$ by

$$\Omega(\Delta) := J_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^* \mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Delta) J_{\mathfrak{H}_1}$$

for every Borel set $\Delta \subseteq \mathbb{R}$, where $\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}$ denotes the spectral measure of $\mathbf{B}$. We easily see that

$$\int \frac{d\Omega(t)}{t - z} = J_{\mathfrak{H}_1}^* \int \frac{d\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(t)}{t - z}\, J_{\mathfrak{H}_1} = M(z) \quad \text{for all } z \in \mathbb{C}_+\,.$$

Hence, $\omega(\Delta) := \operatorname{tr}\Omega(\Delta)$ defines a positive measure with compact support, which satisfies equation (3).

Naturally, a null set for $\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}$ is also a null set of ω. To see the converse, we consider a Borel set Δ with $\omega(\Delta) = 0$. Choose a basis $(e_j)_{j=1,\dots,n}$ of $\mathfrak{H}_1$ with $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$. We then observe that

$$0 = \operatorname{tr}\Omega(\Delta) = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle J_{\mathfrak{H}_1} e_j, \mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Delta) J_{\mathfrak{H}_1} e_j\rangle = \sum_{j=1}^{n} \langle e_j, \mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Delta) e_j\rangle\,,$$

and each summand has to vanish. Since by Lemma 2.2 the space $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is cyclic for $\mathbf{B}$, this can only happen if $\mathsf{E}_{\mathbf{B}}(\Delta) = 0$. □

Like in [2] a Borel set $S \subseteq \mathbb{R}$ is called a support of a given Borel measure μ if $\mu(\mathbb{R} \setminus S) = 0$. We call a support S of μ minimal if $S \setminus T$ has Lebesgue measure zero for any support $T \subseteq S$.

Proposition 2.7. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. The set*

$$S_s := \left\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \;\middle|\; \left\| \left[(A_1 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon) - V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V \right]^{-1} \right\| \xrightarrow{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \infty \right\}$$

is a minimal support of the singular part of the positive measure ω from Proposition 2.6. The set

$$\begin{aligned} S_{pp} := \Big\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \;\Big|\; & \text{There is } 0 \neq y \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \text{ with } Vy \in \mathrm{Ran}(A_0 - \lambda) \text{ and there is} \\ & x \in \mathrm{Ran}\, \mathsf{E}_{A_0}(\{\lambda\}) \text{ such that} \\ & (A_1 - \lambda)y = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} Vy - V^* x \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

is the set of all atoms of ω. In particular, $S_{sc} := S_s \setminus S_{pp}$ is a minimal support for the singular continuous part of ω.

Proof. The representation of S_s is a simple consequence of [2, Theorem 6.1] and the set S_{pp} is a reformulation of Lemma 2.3. □

We define subsets $K_{pp} \subseteq S_{pp}$ and $K_{sc} \subseteq S_{sc}$ of these supports of ω by

$$\begin{aligned} K_{pp} := \Big\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \;\Big|\; & \text{There is } 0 \neq y \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \text{ with} \\ & (A_1 - \lambda)y = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} Vy \\ & \text{and } \int \frac{1}{|t - \lambda|^2}\, d\langle Vy, \mathsf{E}_{A_0}(t) Vy \rangle < \infty \Big\} \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_{sc} := \Big\{ \lambda \in \mathbb{R} \;\Big|\; & \text{There is } 0 \neq y \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \text{ with} \\ & (A_1 - \lambda)y = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} Vy \\ & \text{and } \int \frac{1}{|t - \lambda|^2}\, d\langle Vy, \mathsf{E}_{A_0}(t) Vy \rangle = \infty \Big\}. \end{aligned}$$

Note that $K_{pp} = S_{pp}$ if and only if there is no eigenvalue of $\mathbf{B}$ which satisfies the condition *(iii)* of Lemma 2.3. In particular, $K_{pp} = S_{pp}$ is fulfilled if the point spectra of A_0 and $\mathbf{B}$ are disjoint.

Furthermore, Kostrykin and Makarov have shown in [4, Theorem 3.4] that $K_{pp} = S_{pp}$ and $K_{sc} = S_{sc}$ hold if the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is one-dimensional. By using this result, they have constructed solutions to the Riccati equation for each $\lambda \in S_s$ in the case that $\dim \mathfrak{H}_1 = 1$, see [4, Theorem 4.3]. In the following section we extend their results about solutions to the Riccati equation for an arbitrarily finite dimensional Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_1$.

3. Solutions to the Riccati equation

The operator Riccati equation (1) a priori only makes sense as an operator identity if the solution X is bounded and $\mathrm{Dom}(X) = \mathfrak{H}_0$. For unbounded solutions we use the same notion of a strong solution as in [4] and [5].

Definition 3.1. A densely defined, not necessarily bounded or closable, linear operator $X : \mathfrak{H}_0 \supseteq \mathrm{Dom}(X) \to \mathfrak{H}_1$ is called a *strong solution* to the Riccati equation (1) if

$$\mathrm{Ran}(A_0 + VX)|_{\mathrm{Dom}(X)} \subseteq \mathrm{Dom}\,(X)$$

and

$$A_1 Xx - X(A_0 + VX)x + V^*x = 0 \quad \text{for all } x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X)$$

hold.

Hypothesis 3.2. *Assume Hypothesis 2.1. Suppose that $K_{pp} \cup K_{sc}$ is not empty and that there are $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$ linear independent vectors $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ which satisfy*

$$(A_1 - \lambda_k)y_k = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} V^*(A_0 - \lambda_k - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V y_k\,, \qquad \lambda_k \in K_{pp} \cup K_{sc} \tag{4}$$

for $k = 1, \ldots, n$. Denote $\Lambda := \{(y_1, \lambda_1), \ldots, (y_n, \lambda_n)\}$.

Under Hypthesis 3.2, we define for $k = 1, \ldots, n$ the, not necessarily orthogonal, projections $P_{\Lambda,k} : \mathfrak{H}_1 \to \mathfrak{H}_1$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathrm{Ran}\, P_{\Lambda,k} &= \text{lin span}\{y_k\}\,, \\ \mathrm{Ker}\, P_{\Lambda,k} &= \text{lin span}\{y_j \mid j \neq k\}\,. \end{aligned}$$

We also define a possibly unbounded operator $X_\Lambda : \mathfrak{H}_0 \supseteq \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda) \to \mathfrak{H}_1$ on the domain

$$\mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda) := \left\{ x \in \mathfrak{H}_0 \;\middle|\; \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{\Lambda,j}^* V^*(A_0 - \lambda_j + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} x \in \mathfrak{H}_1 \right\}$$

by

$$X_\Lambda x = \lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{\Lambda,j}^* V^*(A_0 - \lambda_j + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} x\,. \tag{5}$$

Proposition 3.3. *Assume Hypothesis 3.2 with a chosen Λ. Then:*

- *(i) The linear operator X_Λ is densely defined.*
- *(ii) If $\lambda_j \in K_{sc}$ for at least one j, then the operator X_Λ is unbounded and non-closable.*
- *(iii) If $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \subseteq K_{pp}$, then the operator X_Λ is bounded.*
- *(iv) $A_0 x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$.*
- *(v) X_Λ is a strong solution to the Riccati equation* (1).

Proof. A proof of the statements (i) and (ii) for the case $\dim \mathfrak{H}_1 = 1$ can be found in [4, Lemma 4.1] and in [4, Remark 4.2], respectively. These proofs have a straightforward generalisation to a finite dimensional $\mathfrak{H}_1$ and are omitted here.

To show (iii), assume that $\{\lambda_1, \ldots, \lambda_n\} \subseteq K_{pp}$ and define a bounded operator $Z : \mathfrak{H}_1 \to \mathfrak{H}_0$ by

$$Zy := \text{w-}\lim_{\varepsilon \to 0^+} \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_0 - \lambda_j - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V P_{\Lambda,j} y\,, \quad y \in \mathfrak{H}_1\,.$$

Since all λ_j are eigenvalues of $\mathbf{B}$ and $VP_{\Lambda,j}y \in \mathrm{Ran}(A_0 - \lambda_j)$ for all $j = 1, \ldots, n$ and $y \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ by Lemma 2.3, the weak limit is well-defined. Choose $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$

and $y \in \mathfrak{H}_1$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x, Zy\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_0} &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \Big\langle x, \sum_{j=1}^{n} (A_0 - \lambda_j - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V P_{\Lambda,j} y \Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_0} \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \Big\langle \sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{\Lambda,j}^* V^* (A_0 - \lambda_j + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} x, y \Big\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1} = \langle X_\Lambda x, y\rangle_{\mathfrak{H}_1}\,,\end{aligned}$$

so that Z^* is an extension of X_Λ. Hence, X_Λ is a closable operator of finite rank and therefore has to be bounded.

Statement (iv) is shown by applying the spectral theorem. For each j and all $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$ one has

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} P_{\Lambda,j}^* V^* (A_0 - \lambda_j + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} (A_0 - \lambda_j) x = P_{\Lambda,j}^* V^* x \tag{6}$$

because $\mathfrak{H}_1$ is finite dimensional and $\mathrm{Ran}(VP_{\Lambda,j}) \subseteq (\mathrm{Ran}\,\mathsf{E}_{A_0}(\{\lambda_j\}))^\perp$. Therefore, we have $A_0 x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$ for all $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$.

To show (v), we write the Riccati equation (1) in the form

$$\sum_{j=1}^{n} P_{\Lambda,j}^* (A_1 X - X A_0 - X V X + V^*) = 0\,.$$

We choose $x \in \mathrm{Dom}(X_\Lambda)$ and calculate by using (4) and (5):

$$\begin{aligned}&P_{\Lambda,k}^* (A_1 X_\Lambda - X_\Lambda A_0 - X_\Lambda V X_\Lambda) x \\ &= P_{\Lambda,k}^* \Big(A_1 X_\Lambda x - X_\Lambda A_0 x - \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \big(P_{\Lambda,k}\big)^* V^* (A_0 - \lambda_k + \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V X_\Lambda x \Big) \\ &= P_{\Lambda,k}^* (A_1 - (A_1 - \lambda_k)) X_\Lambda x - P_{\Lambda,k}^* X_\Lambda A_0 x \\ &= P_{\Lambda,k}^* X_\Lambda (\lambda_k - A_0) x \\ &= \lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} P_{\Lambda,k}^* V^* (A_0 - \lambda_k - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} (\lambda_k - A_0) x \\ &= -P_{\Lambda,k}^* V^* x\,.\end{aligned}$$

In the last step we used equation (6). □

Finally, we are able to prove our main results:

Proof of Theorem 1.1. By Lemma 2.2 the multiplicity of the spectrum of $\mathbf{B}$ is at most $n := \dim \mathfrak{H}_1$. If there is an eigenvalue λ with multiplicity n, then Lemma 2.3 shows that there are vectors $y_1, \ldots, y_n \in \mathfrak{H}_1$ which span the Hilbert space $\mathfrak{H}_1$. Thus, also by Lemma 2.3 the inequality

$$\lim_{\varepsilon\to 0^+} \big|\mathrm{tr}\, V^* (A_0 - \lambda - \mathrm{i}\varepsilon)^{-1} V\big| < \infty$$

holds and one concludes that $\lambda \notin \mathrm{spec}_p(A_0)$. This is due to [2, Theorem 6.1] and the fact that $\mathrm{Ran}\, V$ is a cyclic generating subspace for A_0. Eventually, we construct a bounded solution X_Λ to the Riccati equation with $\Lambda = \{(y_1, \lambda), \ldots, (y_n, \lambda)\}$ and Proposition 3.3. This proves (i).

Statement (ii) is formulated in such way that there exists at least one Λ as in Hypothesis 3.2 such that Proposition 3.3 is applicable. □

Proof of Corollary 1.2. Since here it is not assumed that $\operatorname{Ran} V$ is a cyclic generating subspace for A_0, we define

$$\mathfrak{K}_0 := \overline{\text{lin span}\Big\{ A_0^k v \;\Big|\; k \in \mathbb{N}_0, v \in \operatorname{Ran} V \Big\}},$$

which is always a closed A_0-invariant subspace of $\mathfrak{H}_0$. One can choose $X|_{\mathfrak{K}_0{}^\perp} = 0$ for a solution X to the Riccati equation (1), so that we can assume Hypothesis 2.1 without loss of generality.

As $\mathfrak{H}$ is finite dimensional and spanned by the eigenvectors of $\mathbf{B}$, we always find a bounded solution X by Theorem 1.1 part (ii). □

ACKNOWLEDGEMENT

This paper is based on the author's Master's thesis "Die Riccati-Gleichung unter Störungen endlichen Ranges", supervised by Vadim Kostrykin at the University of Mainz. The author would like to thank him for the introduction to this field of research and many discussions about the Riccati equation. Moreover, the author would also like to express his gratitude to Albrecht Seelmann, Stephan Schmitz and Christoph Uebersohn for useful remarks.

REFERENCES

[1] V. Adamyan, H. Langer, and C. Tretter. *Existence and uniqueness of contractive solutions of some Riccati equations*. In: *J. Funct. Anal.* **179** (2001), pp. 448 – 473.

[2] F. Gesztesy and E. Tsekanovskii. *On matrix-valued Herglotz functions*. In: *Mathematische Nachrichten* **218** (2000), pp. 61 – 138.

[3] L. Grubišić, V. Kostrykin, K. A. Makarov, and K. Veselić. *The Tan 2Θ theorem for indefinite quadratic forms*. In: *Journal of Spectral Theory* **3** (2013), pp. 83 – 100. DOI: 10.4171/JST/38.

[4] V. Kostrykin and K. A. Makarov. *The Singularly Continuous Spectrum and Non-Closed Invariant Subspaces*. In: *Recent Advances in Operator Theory and its Applications*. Ed. by I. Gohberg, D. Alpay, J. Arazy et al. Vol. **160**. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag, 2005, pp. 299 – 309. DOI: 10.1007/3-7643-7398-9_14.

[5] V. Kostrykin, K. A. Makarov, and A. K. Motovilov. *Existence and uniqueness of solutions to the operator Riccati equation. A geometric approach*. In: Contemporary Mathematics **327** (2003). Ed. by Y. Karpeshina, G. Stolz, R. Weikard, Y. Zeng, pp. 181 – 198. DOI: 10.1090/conm/327/05814.

[6] V. Kostrykin, K. A. Makarov, and A. K. Motovilov. *A generalization of the tan 2Θ theorem*. In: *Current Trends in Operator Theory and Its Applications*. Ed. by J. A. Ball, M. Klaus, J. W. Helton, and L. Rodman. Vol. **149**. Operator Theory: Advances and Applications. Basel: Birkhäuser-Verlag, 2004, pp. 349 – 372.

[7] K. A. Makarov and A. Seelmann. *The length metric on the set of orthogonal projections and new estimates in the subspace perturbation problem*. In: Journal für die reine und angewandte Mathematik (Crelles Journal) (2013). DOI: 10.1515/crelle-2013-0099.

[8] C. Tretter. *Spectral theory of block operator matrices and applications*. London: Imperial College Press London, 2008.

J. P. GROSSMANN, FB 08 - INSTITUT FÜR MATHEMATIK, JOHANNES GUTENBERG-UNIVERSITÄT MAINZ, STAUDINGER WEG 9, D-55099 MAINZ, GERMANY

E-mail address: jp-g@gmx.de